Neuropteran Assemblage (Insecta) of a Pine Forest in the Republic of Tatarstan Revealed by Crown Bait Traps

V. N. Makarkin^{a,} *, A. B. Ruchin^{b,} **, and Yu. A. Lukyanova^{c,} ***

^a Federal Scientific Center of the East Asia Terrestrial Biodiversity, Far East Branch, Russian Academy of Sciences, Vladivostok, 690022 Russia

^b Joint Directorate of the Mordovia State Nature Reserve and Smolny National Park, Saransk, 430005 Russia ^c Nizhnyaya Kama National Park, Yelabuga, 423600 Russia

> *e-mail: vnmakarkin@mail.ru **e-mail: ruchin.alexander@gmail.com ***e-mail: julia-luk@inbox.ru Received July 13, 2022; revised November 17, 2022; accepted November 21, 2022

Abstract—The Neuroptera of the pine Tanaika Forest in the Republic of Tatarstan were studied using five beer-and-sugar bait crown traps operated continuously from April 30 to October 1, 2021. The chrysopids *Apertochrysa prasina* and *Chrysotropia ciliata* clearly dominate among 1043 collected specimens (17 species). The number of species stenotopic for pines (*Chrysopa dorsalis* and *Wesmaelius concinnus*) is very low. The large number of Chrysopidae in this forest, normally characteristic of a deciduous forest, and the rarity of species characteristic of pines, can be explained by the fact that the traps mainly attract Neuroptera that feed at the imaginal stage on pollen and honeydews, whereas almost all species characteristic of pines are predators. In addition, the traps on pine trees were located at a relatively low height (4–5 m from the ground), so they attracted more specimens from the nearest deciduous trees and deciduous undergrowth than from pines, whose canopies are located much higher. Eleven species of Neuroptera are recorded from the Republic of Tatarstan for the first time; 32 species are now known from the region.

Keywords: Neuroptera, the Republic of Tatarstan, fauna, pine forest, neuropteran assemblage **DOI:** 10.1134/S1995425523020105

The study of neuropteran assemblages of various ecosystems in Russia (different types of forests, steppes, tundra, agrocenoses, urban cenoses, etc.) is of great scientific interest and important both in theoretical and applied terms. Neuropteran assemblages of agrocenoses (e.g., Kovrigina and Malysheva, 1986; Krotova, 1989; Arefin and Kholin, 1992; Timraleev, 1992; Bokina, 2010) and urban cenoses (Kovrigina, 1979; Makarkin, 1985b; Kaverzina and Pleshanov, 2008; Kaverzina, 2012) have been studied more or less regularly. However, less attention is paid to the study of lacewing assemblages in natural ecosystems of Russia. Published works (Bukovsky, 1936; Pleshanov, 1979; Kovrigina, 1983, 1986, 1993; Makarkin, 1985a; Rokhletsova, 2003; Kaverzina, 2011; Shchurov and Makarkin, 2021) are mostly of a general nature, and the data presented in them are scattered and often incomplete; most importantly, they lack quantitative data (with the exception of the excellent work of V.I. Bukovsky (1936)). In fact, neuropteran assemblages of natural ecosystems in Russia have not vet been studied.

This includes the neuropteran assemblages of pine forests. The only significant study of this insect assem-

blage was carried out in the Republic of Komi (Yurkina, 2004, 2007). Unfortunately, it is very incomplete with regard to Neuroptera; only six species have been recorded, including *Myrmeleon formicarius* L., an antlion species not directly associated with pines. Significant data on lacewings living on pines in Russia are contained in some faunistic articles (Makarkin, 1987a, 1987b; Makarkin and Ruchin, 2020a, 2020b, 2021a, 2021b).

Pine forest crowns are high, so their inhabitants are difficult to see and collect. Net sweeping allows only collecting the material from the lower branches of trees. Light traps act indiscriminately, attracting individuals from very distant places. We used crown bait traps, which attract individuals from a more limited area, to collect the material.

The Tanaika Forest (*Pinetum hylocomiosum*) in Tatarstan is a good model for studying the pine forest insect assemblage. This is a compact, isolated forest of 956 ha, bounded in the south by the Kama River, in the north and east by settlements (the city of Elabuga and the village of Tanaika), and in the west by extensive grass meadows (a floodplain to a greater extent

CONTEMPORARY PROBLEMS OF ECOLOGY Vol. 16 No. 2

and dry land to a lesser extent). Therefore, there are no extensive deciduous forests near it.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The research was carried out in the Tanaika Forest on the right bedrock bank of the Kama River, which is a part of the Nizhnyaya Kama National Park (Republic of Tatarstan, Yelabuga District). The forest is considered man-made, since most of it was restored in the period of 1920-1960s from a small pine forest of natural origin.

The dominant species is Scotch pine (Pinus svlvestris L.), and there are areas of birch forest (Betula pendula Roth). White poplar (Populus alba L.) was noted in the coastal strip. Associated deciduous species are aspen (Populus tremula L.), rough elm (Ulmus glabra Huds.), heart-shaped lime (Tilia cordata Mill.), and Norway maple (Acer platanoides L.). The undergrowth mainly includes mountain ash (Sorbus aucuparia L.), apple (Malus domestica Borkh.), bird cherry (Padus avium Mill.), hazel (Corylus avellana L.), honeysuckle (Lonicera xylosteum L.), and euonymus (Euonymus verrucosus Scop.).

Five crown bait traps (CBTs) were placed on pine and apple trees on April 30, trapping insects continuously to 1 October 2021. Beer with sugar served as a bait, which soon naturally fermented (Ruchin et al., 2020). The traps were checked after 7-15 days.

CBT no. 1 (55.72881° N, 51.96900° E): on a pine at a height of 4.0 m; pine forest (density 0.5); in addition to pine, the canopy is formed by heart-shaped lime, Norway maple, rough elm, and aspen; the shrub stratum includes hazel, honeysuckle, mountain ash, and bird cherry.

CBT no. 2 (55.72528° N. 51.97698° E): on a pine at a height of 4.0 m; pine forest (density 0.4-0.5); next to it is a small area of Siberian larch (Larix sibirica Ledeb.); the shrub stratum is dense—acacia *Caragana* arborescens Lam., cotoneaster Cotoneaster melanocarpus Fisch. ex Blytt, wild rose Rosa majalis Herrm., and cherry Prunus cerasus L.

CBT no. 3 (55.73151° N. 51.98206° E): on a pine at a height of 4.0-5.0 m; old-growth, sparse pine forest (density 0.2-0.3); from the south there is a wide ravine with cherry, apple trees, and acacia.

CBT no. 4 (55.73046° N, 51.96269° E): on an apple tree (4 m high) at a height of 2.5 m (in the crown); a clearing behind the office building of the national park, surrounded by a pine forest (the age of the forest is 80 years).

CBT no. 5 (55.74358° N, 51.98314° E): on an apple tree (4 m high) at a height of 2 m (in the crown); pine forest (canopy density 0.3) with sparse aspen undergrowth (up to 10 m); the shrub stratum is sparse, represented by honeysuckle, currant Ribes nigrum L., and gooseberry Ribes uva-crispa L.

The material was determined by the first author using various sources (monographs, keys, articles describing individual species, etc.). It is deposited in the Federal Scientific Center of the East Asia Terrestrial Biodiversity (Vladivostok, Russia).

RESULTS

Among the 1043 lacewing specimens of 17 species collected in traps in the Tanaika Forest (11 of which are the first recorded from Tatarstan), the green lacewings Apertochrvsa prasina and Chrvsotropia ciliata clearly dominate (Table 1). Chrysoperla carnea is also very numerous. Apertochrysa ventralis, Chrysopa gibeauxi, Nineta alpicola, and Cunctochrysa cosmia are rather numerous. Nineta vittata, N. flava, Chrysopa dorsalis, Apertochrysa flavifrons, and Cunctochrysa albolineata are relatively common. Other species are rare or represented by a single specimen.

The composition of lacewings on coniferous (pine) and deciduous (apple) trees in this forest is approximately the same, but the relative abundance of some species (especially per tree) differ significantly. Ch. ciliata, A. ventralis, and N. alpicola are clearly more abundant on the apple trees, while Ch. gibeauxi and C. cosmia were on pines. The total number of lacewings per tree on apple trees is significantly higher than on pines.

DISCUSSION

Composition of the pine forest neuropteran assemblage. The codominance of Ch. ciliata in the neuropteran assemblage of this pine forest was absolutely unexpected. It is known throughout the southern Palaearctic as a relatively hygrophilous species, living exclusively on deciduous trees and shrubs (Gepp, 1974, 1977; Zelený, 1984; Makarkin, 1985a; Tsukaguchi, 1995; Volkovich, 2001; Monserrat, 2016), and as an exception on spruce (Gepp, 1977). However, we have previously collected this species on pines by similar crown bait traps in various regions of the Volga Region in mixed and pine forests (Makarkin, Ruchin, 2020a, 2020b, 2021a, 2021b), but its abundance there was much lower (Table 1).

The relative abundance in the pine forest of three species of the genus Nineta (especially N. alpicola) was also unexpected. It is believed that these species are only found on deciduous trees (Zelený, 1984; Makarkin, 1985a; Tsukaguchi, 1995; Monserrat, 2016), but previously they were regularly caught by crown bait traps on pine trees (Makarkin and Ruchin, 2020a, 2020b, 2021a, 2021b).

The four green lacewing species mentioned above are the most typical lacewing species of deciduous forests, and their abundance on deciduous trees is indeed much greater than on pines (Makarkin and Ruchin, 2020a, 2020b, 2021a, 2021b).

2023

	Species of Neuroptera	Pine Tanaika Forest (Tatarstan)			Other parts of the
		pines	apple trees	total	Volga Region
	Chrysopidae (green lacewings)				
1	Apertochrysa prasina (Burmeister, 1839), s.l.	217(72.3)	162(81.0)	379	309
2	Chrysotropia ciliata (Wesmael, 1841)*	123(41.0)	185(92.5)	308	23
3	Chrysoperla carnea (Stephens, 1836), s.l.	62(20.7)	69(34.5)	131	94
4	Apertochrysa ventralis (Curtis, 1834)*	11(3.7)	41(20.5)	52	8
5	Chrysopa gibeauxi (Leraut, 1989)*	35(11.7)	7(3.5)	42	10
6	Nineta alpicola Kuwayama, 1956*	14(4.7)	22(11.0)	36	19
7	Cunctochrysa cosmia (Navás, 1918)*	19(6.3)	6(3.0)	25	_
8	Nineta vittata (Wesmael 1841)*	7(2.3)	10(5.0)	17	4
9	Chrysopa dorsalis Burmeister, 1839	7(2.3)	7(3.5)	14	_
10	Apertochrysa flavifrons (Brauer, 1851)*	10(3.3)	3(1.5)	13	31
11	Nineta flava (Scopoli 1763)*	6(2.0)	6(3.0)	12	4
12	Cunctochrysa albolineata (Killington, 1935)	5(1.7)	2(1.0)	7	1
13	Chrysopa perla (Linnaeus, 1758)	1(0.3)	1(0.5)	2	1
14	Nothochrysa fulviceps (Stephens, 1836)	1(0.3)	1(0.5)	2	_
15	Chrysopa pallens (Rambur, 1838)*	_	1(0.5)	1	_
16	Chrysopa walkeri McLachlan, 1893	_	_	_	3
	Hemerobiidae				
17	Drepanepteryx phalaenoides (Linnaeus, 1758)*		1(0.5)	1	-
18	Wesmaelius concinnus (Stephens, 1836)*		1(0.5)	1	1
	Total	518 (172.7)	525 (262.5)	1043	508

Table 1. Neuroptera collected by crown bait traps on pines and apple trees in the pine Tanaika Forest in 2021 (the number of individuals per tree is in parentheses) and on pines in mixed and pine forests in other regions of the Volga Region in 2019–2020 (summarized data from Makarkin, Ruchin, 2020a, 2020b, 2021a, 2021b), number of individuals

* Recorded for the first time from Tatarstan.

Chrysopa dorsalis is the only green lacewing species characteristic of pines. Its rarity in the collections made by CBTs is due to its being a predator (see below).

The relatively high abundance of *Cunctochrysa cosmia* in the pine Tanaika Forest is not surprising, because in Western and Eastern Europe it was collected mainly from pine trees (Monserrat et al., 2014; Dobosz, Junkiert, 2018). However, in Russia, it was previously observed mainly on deciduous trees (Makarkin and Ruchin, 2021a). Therefore, the ecology of this species in our country (the eastern part of the area) is not yet fully understood.

Chrysopa gibeauxi is rather common on pines (Table 1) (Makarkin, 1987a, b (reported as *Ch. septempuctata* Wesm.)). This is one of the few species of the genus (along with *Ch. pallens*) that have a mixed diet, feeding on both pollen and small arthropods. Therefore, its relative abundance in CBTs is quite understandable. The main part of the range of *Ch. pallens* is located further south, and this can explain its rarity in the Tanaika Forest.

The finding of two specimens of the large lacewing *Nothochrysa fulviceps* in a pine forest is rather acciden-

tal. The species is typical for broad-leaved forests, where it lives mainly in the crowns of oaks, and much more rarely on other species of deciduous trees (Zelený, 1984; Monserrat and Marín, 1994; Makarkin and Ruchin, 2019; Makarkin and Egorov, 2020; Makarkin and Mikhailenko, 2021). The capture of two other green lacewing species (*Chrysopa perla* and *Ch. walkeri*) by CBTs is also accidental; they are predators and live in the shrub and grass strata.

Other species of green lacewings, including the codominant *Apertochrysa prasina*, occur mainly on different species of deciduous trees and shrubs, but they may be found regularly on conifers (including pines) and are sometimes quite abundant (especially *Chrysoperla carnea*). All are phytophagous and glycophagous.

Adult Hemerobiidae are predators and are reasonably very rare in the CBT. Of these, *Drepanepteryx phalaenoides* is a eurytopic species that lives on both coniferous and deciduous trees, and *Wesmaelius concinnus* is a stenotopic species characteristic of pines.

Investigations into the entomofauna of pine forests in Europe using other methods of collection (Moericke traps, flight interception traps, and net sweeping) show that lacewings are often found there (Klomp and Teekink, 1973; Czechowka, 1985, 1994, 1995, 1997; Saure and Kielhorn 1993; Monserrat and Marín, 1994; Burmeister et al., 2007; Gruppe, 2008). However, the abundance of many species on pines is small; they are either eurytopic in varying degrees or their occurrence on pines is accidental. There are few stenotopic species characteristic only of pines: these are mainly Hemerobius stigma Steph., H. nitidulus F., Sympherobius fuscescens, and Wesmaelius concinnus among Hemerobiidae; Chrysopa dorsalis among Chrysopidae; and *Parasemidalis fuscipennis* (Reuter) among Coniopterygidae. Although these may be occasionally found on other conifers and deciduous trees, they clearly prefer pines. The European species *Cunctochrysa cosmia* is also thought to live predominantly on various species of pines (Dobosz and Junkiert, 2018).

In Transbaikalia, pine trees are generally inhabited by the same neuropteran assemblage as in Europe (Makarkin, 1987a). Sixteen species of Hemerobiidae and five of Chrysopidae, including *Chrysopa dorsalis, Ch. gibeauxi* (reported as *Ch. septempunctata*), *Apertochrysa prasina*, and *Chrysoperla carnea*, were collected by net sweeping the lower branches of two pine species (*Pinus sylvestris* and *P. sibirica* Du Tour) in several places in Transbaikalia (from the border with Mongolia in the south to the southern coast of Baikal Lake and the vicinity of Chita in the north). In Transbaikalia, *Hemerobius stigma, H. nitidulus, Sympherobius fuscescens, Wesmaelius concinnus*, and *Ch. dorsalis* were found only on pines, i.e., almost the complete set of stenotopic species characteristic of pine.

As we noted earlier (Makarkin and Ruchin, 2019), crown bait traps attract mostly phytophagous and glycophagous lacewings, which feed in the imaginal stage mainly on pollen and honeydew; among Neuroptera, these are mainly green lacewings (Chrysopidae). The results of this study are consistent with this. However, adults of almost all species stenotopic for pines (*Chrysopa dorsalis* and Hemerobiidae species) are predators and feed mainly on aphids. Only *Cunctochrysa cosmia* is phytophagous. Therefore, the neuropteran assemblage of this pine forest shown by CBTs is only a part of the actual assemblage living there.

Comparing the neuropteran assemblage of the pine Tanaika Forest with the those of our previous collections on pines in other regions of the Volga Region using CBTs, we can state that this consists in general of the same species (Table 1). Therefore, this assemblage undoubtedly reflects the actual species composition of phytophagous and glycophagous lacewings in the pine forests of the Volga Region.

The results of this study also show that CBLs attract individuals from a relatively limited area. In particular, the large number of lacewings collected in the pine Tanaika Forest, which are typical for a decid-

uous forest, such as *Chrysotropia ciliata*, *Nineta alpicola*, and *N. vittata*, can be explained by the fact that the traps on pine trees were located at a relatively low height (4–5 m from the ground). They definitely attracted more specimens from the nearest deciduous trees and from the undergrowth (shrubs and undergrowth of deciduous trees) than from pines canopies, which are located much higher. Although the data do not reflect the real ratio of the abundance of species living on pines, they significantly expand our knowledge of the pine forest lacewing assemblage.

Neuropteran fauna of Tatarstan. Previously, 21 species of 5 families of lacewings were known from Tatarstan: Chrysopidae (11 species), Myrmeleontidae (5 species), Hemerobiidae (3 species), Sisyridae (1 species), and Ascalaphidae (1 species) (Hagen, 1858; Jakowleff, 1869; Kovrigina, 1978; Zakharenko, 1988; Shafigullina, 2006a, 2006b; Krivokhatsky, 2011; Leontiev, 2013, 2014; Shulaev, 2016a, 2016b). Of these, the record of Chrysopa septempunctata is unreliable, since this species is currently divided into Chrvsopa pallens and Ch. gibeauxi. In this article, 11 species of Chrysopidae and Hemerobiidae are recorded for the first time from the region, and now 32 species of Neuroptera are known in Tatarstan. Of these, Cunctochrvsa cosmia was only recently recorded for the first time from Russia (Ulyanovsk and Penza oblasts and Mordovia) (Makarkin and Ruchin, 2021a). In these regions, it was found on oak, birch, pine, and spruce.

Chrysopa perla is one of the most common species of the family in central European Russia, also common in Tatarstan (Kovrigina, 1978; Shafigullina, 2006a; Leontiev, 2013; Shulaev, 2016a). Therefore, its inclusion in the Red Data Book of Tatarstan is a misunderstanding, which was noticed after its 2nd edition (Anikin, 2007). However, the species still appears in the 3rd edition (Shulaev, 2016a), which undoubtedly greatly discredits the significance of the Red Data Books in general.

CONCLUSIONS

This study made it possible to reveal the contradictory features of crown bait traps using fermented beer as the bait. On one hand, the lacewings collected in this way undoubtedly reflect the real assemblage of phytophagous and glycophagous lacewings in the insect community of the ecosystem; on the other hand, it is impossible to study the whole assemblage of lacewing predators of this ecosystem using only this method. To completely evaluate the neuropteran assemblages of such forests, crown bait traps must be supplemented by other methods of collection.

FUNDING

We thank S. Bruce Archibald (Simon Fraser University, Canada) for editing the English translation. The research was carried out within the state assignment of Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Russian Federation (theme no. 121031000151-3) and partly supported by the Russian Science Foundation (project no. 22-14-00026).

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

- Anikin, V.V., On the issue of the need to include some species of insects in the Red Data Book of Tatarstan (2006), *Entomologicheskie i parasitologicheskie issledo*vaniya v Povolzh'ye (Entomological and Parasitological Research in the Volga Region), Saratov: Saratov Univ., 2007, no. 6, pp. 141–143.
- Arefin, V.S. and Kholin, S.K., Nasekomye v agroekosistemakh: struktura i dinamika soobshchestva monokul'tury soi Glycine max (Insects in Agroecosystems: Structure and Dynamics of Community in the Soybean Glycine max Monoculture), Vladivostok: Dal'nevost. Otd. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1992.
- Bokina, I.G., Lacewings (Chrysopidae, Neuroptera) in cereal agrocenoses of the forest-steppe of Western Siberia, *Entomol. Rev.*, 2010, vol. 90, pp. 689–697.
- Bukovsky, V.I., Population of invertebrates of the Crimean beech forest (biocenological essay), Tr. Krym. Gos. Zapoved., 1936, vol. 1.
- Burmeister, J., Goßner, M., and Gruppe, A., Insektengemeinschaften im Kronenraum von Koniferenarten im Forstlichen Versuchsgarten Grafrath (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae, Curculionidae, Elateridae; Heteroptera; Neuropterida; Trichoptera), Nachrichtenbl. Bayer. Entomol., 2007, vol. 56, pp. 19–29.
- Czechowka, W., Neuropteran (Planipennia and Raphidioptera; Neuropteroidea) communities of coniferous forests in the Kampinoska Forest and in Białołęka Dworska near Warsaw, *Fragmenta Faunistica*, 1985, vol. 29, no. 10, pp. 391–404.
- Czechowka, W., Neuropterans (Neuropteroidea: Raphidioptera, Planipennia) of the conopy layer in pine forests, *Fragmenta Faunistica*, 1994, vol. 36, no. 23, pp. 459–467.
- Czechowka, W., Neuropteroidea and Coccinellidae (Coleoptera) of pine canopies of the pine forests in the Berezinsky Biosphere Reserve in Byalorussia, *Fragmenta Faunistica*, 1995, vol. 38, no. 6, pp. 159–163.
- Czechowka, W., A comparative analysis of the structure of Neuropteroidea communities in three canopies in linden-oak-hornbeam forests, light oak forests, mixed coniferous forests and pine forests, *Fragmenta Faunistica*, 1997, vol. 40, no. 12, pp. 128–168.
- Dobosz, R. and Junkiert, L., *Cunctochrysa cosmia* (Navás, 1918)—a species of green lacewings new to Poland (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae), *Ann. Upper Silesian Mus. Bytom. Entomol.*, 2018, vol. 27, no. 009, pp. 1–8.
- Gepp, J., Die Netzflügler (Megaloptera, Raphidiodea, Planipennia) des Kaiserwaldes südwestlich von Graz (mit einer zoogeographischen Analyse), *Mitt. Abt. Zool. Landesmus. Joanneum*, 1974, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 11–28.

- Gepp, J., Die Planippenier der Steiermark (Neuroptera s. Str., Neuropteroidea, Insecta): Autökologie und Regionalfaunistik, *Mitt. Naturwiss. Ver. Steiermark*, 1977, vol. 107, pp. 171–206.
- Gruppe, A., Diversity and host tree preference of Neuropterida (Insecta) in mixed forest stands in Germany, in *Canopy Arthropod Research in Europe*, Nuremberg: Bioform Entomol., 2008, pp. 145–156.
- Hagen, H., Russlands Neuropteren, Stettiner Entomol. Zeitung, 1858, vol. 19, pp. 110–134.
- Jakowleff, W., Materialien zur entomologischen fauna der Wolga-Gegend, *Horae Soc. Entomol. Ross.*, 1869, vol. 6, pp. 109–126.
- Kaverzina, A.S., Steppe neuropteran complex of the Angara Region, *Materialy II mezhdunarodnoi nauchnoi konferentsii "Rasnoobrasie pochv i bioty Severnoi i Tsentral'noi Azii"* (Proc. II Int. Sci. Conf. "Diversity of Soils and Biota of North and Central Asia"), Ulan-Ude: Buryat. Nauchn. Tsentr Sib. Otd. Ross. Akad. Nauk, 2011, vol. 2, pp. 73–74.
- Kaverzina, A.S., Neuropteran assemblages (Insecta) of biocenoses of the Angara Region cities, *Materialy XIV s"ezda Russkogo entomologicheskogo obshchestva* (Proc. XIV Congr. Russ. Entomol. Soc.), St. Petersburg, St. Petersburg: Galanika, 2012.
- Kaverzina, A.S. and Pleshanov, A.S., A contribution to knowledge of the Irkutsk city fauna, *Materialy konfer*entsii po itogam nauchno-issledovatelskikh rabot studentov "Problemy estestvenno-nauchnogo obrazovaniya" (Proc. Conf. on the Results of Research Work of Students "Problems of Natural Science Education"), Irkutsk: Irkutsk. Gos. Pedagog. Univ., 2008, pp. 28–31.
- Klomp, H. and Teekink, B.J., The density of the invertebrate summer fauna on the crowns of pine trees, *Pinus sylvestris*, in the central part of the Netherlands, *Beitr. Entomol.*, 1973 vol. 23, no. 5/8, pp. 325–340.
- Kovrigina, A.M., Neuropteroidea of the Middle Volga region, *Entomol. Obozrenie*, 1978, vol. 57, no. 4, pp. 746– 751.
- Kovrigina, A.M., Neuroptera from the cultural landscapes of the Middle Volga Region, Novye problemy zoologicheskoi nauki i ikh otrazhenie v vuzovskom prepodavanii. Tezisy dokladov (New Problems in Zoology and their Reflection in High School Teaching. Abstracts of Papers), Stavropol, 1979, vol. 1, pp. 86–87.
- Kovrigina, A.M., Fauna and ecology of lacewings of the steppe zone of the Middle Volga Region, Voprosy lesnoi biogeotsenologii, ekologii i okhrany prirody v stepnoi zone (Problems in Forest Biogeocenology, Ecology and Natural Protection in the Steppe Zone), Kuibyshev, 1983, pp. 128–132.
- Kovrigina, A.M., Zonal distribution of green lacewings in the Middle Volga territory, Ekologiya zhivotnykh Povolzh'ya i Priural'ya (Ecology of the Animals of the Middle Volga and Ural Regions), Kuibyshev: Kuibyshev Gos. Pedagog. Inst., 1983, pp. 6–12.
- Kovrigina, A.M., Biocenotic relationships of neuropteroid insects, Uspekhi entomologii v SSSR: ekologiya, faunistika, nebol'shie otryady nasekovykh (Advances in Entomolo-

gy in the USSR: Ecology, Faunistics, Small Orders of Insects), St. Petersburg: Zool. Inst., 1993, pp. 28–29.

- Kovrigina, A.M. and Malysheva, E.V., Ecology of entomophages in the forest-steppe zone of the Middle Volga Region, Ekologiya zhivotnykh Povolzh'ya i Priural'ya (Ecology of the Animals of the Middle Volga and Ural Regions), Kuibyshev: Kuibyshev Gos. Pedagog. Inst., 1986, pp. 12–20.
- Krivokhatsky, V.A., *Murav'inye l'vy (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae) Rossii* (Antlions (Neuroptera: Myrmeleontidae) of Russia), St. Petersburg: KMK, 2011.
- Krotova, I.G., Lacewings (order Neuroptera)—entomophages of grass aphids in the Ob' forest-steppe, *Sib. Vestn. S-kh. Nauki*, 1989, vol. 3, pp. 46–50.
- Leontiev, V.V., Review of the fauna of some Neuropteroidea of north-eastern part of the Republic of Tatarstan, Sbobnik materialov III Vserossiiskoi s mezhdunarodnym uchastiem nauchno-prakticheskoi konferentsii "Okhrana prirodnoi sredy i ekologo-biologicheskoe obrozovanie", (Proc. III All-Russ. Sci.-Pract. Conf. Int. Participation "Protection of the Natural Environment and Ecological-Biological Education"), Elabuga: Elabuga Inst. Kazan Fed. Univ., 2011, pp. 152–156.
- Leontiev, V.V., Species identification of the genus *Myrmeleon* (Insecta, Neuroptera, Myrmeleontidae) based on juvenile stages in the north-eastern part of the Republic of Tatarstan, *Mezhdunarod. Zh. Priklad. Fundam. Issled.*, 2011, vol. 2, pp. 55–58.
- Makarkin, V.N., Ecological faunistic review of green lacewings (Neuroptera, Chrysopidae) of the Soviet Far East, Fauna i ekologiya nesekomykh Primor'ya i Kamchatki (vrediteli i entomofagi) (Fauna and Ecology of Insects of Primorye and Kamchatka (Pests and Entomophages)), Vladivostok: Dal'nevost. Tsentr Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1985a, pp. 55–64.
- Makarkin, V.N., The influence of the conditions of a big city on species composition of lacewings (Neuroptera), *Ekologia*, 1985b, no. 4, pp. 90–92.
- Makarkin, V.N., Lacewings (Neuroptera) of the Trans-Baikal Region, Taksonomiya nasekomykh Sibiri i Dalnego Vostoka (Taxonomy of Insects of Siberia and the Far East of the USSR), Vladivostok: Dal'nevost. Tsentr Akad. Nauk SSSR, 1987a, pp. 72–77.
- Makarkin, V.N., Fauna and ecology of Neuroptera of the Far East of the USSR, *Extended Abstract of Cand. Sci. (Biol). Dissertation*, Leningrad: Zool. Inst., 1987b.
- Makarkin, V.N. and Egorov, L.V., New data on Neuroptera and Raphidioptera of the Chuvash Republic, *Eversmannia*, 2020, vol. 64, pp. 47–51.
- Makarkin, V.N. and Mikhailenko, A.P., First record of *Nothochrysa fulviceps* (Stephens, 1836) (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) from Tula Province, *Eversmannia*, 2021, vols. 65–66, p. 94.
- Makarkin, V.N. and Ruchin, A.B., New data on Neuroptera and Raphidioptera of Mordovia (Russia), *Kavk. Entomol. Byull.*, 2019, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 147–157.
- Makarkin, V.N. and Ruchin, A.B., Materials on the Neuroptera and Raphidioptera fauna in Mordovia and adjacent regions of European Russia, *Tr. Mord. Gos. Prir.*

Zapoved. im. P.G. Smidovicha, 2020a, vol. 24, pp. 161–181.

- Makarkin, V.N. and Ruchin, A.B., A contribution to the knowledge of green lacewings (Insecta: Neuroptera) of the Nizhniy Novgorod Region, *Polevoi Zh. Biol.*, 2020b, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 282–285.
- Makarkin, V.N. and Ruchin, A.B., New data on Neuroptera and Raphidioptera of the Middle Volga Region, *Tr. Mord. Gos. Prir. Zapoved. im. P.G. Smidovicha*, 2021a, vol. 27, pp. 201–235.
- Makarkin, V.N. and Ruchin, A.B., A contribution to the knowledge of Neuroptera and Raphidioptera of the Vladimir, Ryazan and Tambov Province, *Eversmannia*, 2021b, nos. 65–66, pp. 36–40.
- Monserrat, V.J., Los crísopidos de la Península Ibérica y Baleares (Insecta, Neuropterida, Neuroptera: Chrysopidae), *Graellsia*, 2016, vol. 72, no. 1, p. e037.
- Monserrat, V.J. and Marín, F., Plant substrate specificity of Iberian Chrysopidae (Insecta: Neuroptera), Acta Œcol., 1994, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 119–131.
- Monserrat, V.J., Acevedo, F., and Pantaleoni, R.A., Nuevos datos sobre algunas especies de crisópidos de la Península Ibérica, Islas Baleares e Islas Canarias (Insecta, Neuroptera, Chrysopidae), *Graellsia*, 2014, vol. 70, no. 1, p. e002.
- Pleshanov, A.S., Aphidophagous Neuroptera in the taiga landscapes of East Siberia, in *Zashchita lesa* (Forest Protection), Leningrad: Leningr. Lesotekh. Akad. im. S.M.Kirova, 1979, vol. 4, pp. 88–92.
- Rokhletsova, A.V., An ecological-faunistic review of the green-lacewings (Neuroptera: Chrysopidae) of the Ulyanovsk Province, in *Priroda Simbiskogo Povolzh'ya* (The Nature of the Simbirsk Volga Region), Ul'yanovsk: Srednevolzh. Nauchn. Tsentr, 2003, vol. 4, pp. 65–68.
- Ruchin, A.B., Egorov, L.V., Khapugin, A.A., Vikhrev, N.E., and Esin, M.N., The use of simple crown traps for the insects collection, *Nat. Conserv. Res.*, 2020, vol. 5, no. 1, pp. 87–108.
- Saure, Ch. and Kielhorn, K.-H., Netzflügler als Bewohner der Kronenregion von Eiche und Kiefer (Neuroptera: Coniopterygidae, Hemerobiidae, Chrysopidae), *Faunistisch-Ökologische Mitteilungen*, 1993, vol. 6, no. 9/10, pp. 391–402.
- Shafigulllina, S.M., Chrysopa perla Linnaeus, in Krasnaya kniga Respubliki Tatarstan: zhivotnye, rasteniya, griby. (Red Data Book of the Republic of Tatarstan: Animals, Plants, Fungi.), Kazan: Idel-Press, 2006a.
- Shafigulllina, S.M., Myrmeleon formicarius (Linnaeus, 1767), in Krasnaya kniga Respubliki Tatarstan: zhivotnye, rasteniya, griby. (Red Data Book of the Republic of Tatarstan: Animals, Plants, Fungi.), Kazan: Idel-Press, 2006b.
- Shchurov, V.I. and Makarkin, V.N., Diversity of Neuroptera (Insecta) in principal ecosystem types of the North-Western Caucasus, *Materialy VIII Vserossiiskoi* konferentsii s mezhdunarodnym uchastiem "Gornye ekosistemy i ikh komponenty" (Proc. VIII All-Russ. Conf. Int. Participation "Mountain Ecosystems and their Components"), Nalchik, 2021.

CONTEMPORARY PROBLEMS OF ECOLOGY Vol. 16 No. 2 2023

- Shulaev, N.V., Chrysopa perla (Linnaeus, 1758), in Krasnaya kniga Respubliki Tatarstan: zhivotnye, rasteniya, griby. (Red Data Book of the Republic of Tatarstan: Animals, Plants, Fungi.), Kazan: Idel-Press, 2016a, pp. 190–191.
- Shulaev, N.V., Libelloides macaronius (Scopoli, 1763), in Krasnaya kniga Respubliki Tatarstan: zhivotnye, rasteniya, griby. (Red Data Book of the Republic of Tatarstan: Animals, Plants, Fungi.), Kazan: Idel-Press, 2016b.
- Timraleev, Z.A., Vrednye i poleznye nasekomye zernovykh kul'tur yuga nechernozemnoi zony Rossii (Harmful and Beneficial Insects of White Straw Crops in the South Non-Chernozem Zone of Russia), Saransk: Mord. Univ., 1992.
- Tsukaguchi, S., *Chrysopidae of Japan (Insecta, Neuroptera)*, Osaka, 1995.
- Volkovich, T.A., Green lacewings (Neuroptera, Chrysopidae) of the "Forest on the Vorskla River" Nature Reserve (Belgorod Province): fauna and ecology, *Entomol. Rev.*, 2021, vol. 81, pp. 884–894.

- Yurkina, E.V., Composition, structure and biocenotic significance of the insect fauna in pine forests of the middle taiga subzone of the Republic of Komi, *Doctoral* (*Biol.*) *Dissertation*, Moscow: Moscow State For. Univ., 2004.
- Yurkina, E.V., New species of Neuroptera for pine forests of the Republic of Komi, *Materialy XIII s "ezda Russkogo* entomologicheskogo obshchestva "Problemy i perspektivy obshchei entomologii," Tezisy dokladov (Proc. XIII Congr. Russ. Entomol. Soc. "Problems and Perspectives of General Entomology"), Krasnodar: Kuban. Gos. Agrar. Univ., 2007, pp. 416–417.
- Zakharenko, A.V., Neuroptera of the fauna of the SSSR. II. Fam. Dilaridae, Berothidae and Sisyridae, *Entomol. Obozr.*, 1988, vol. 67, no. 4, pp. 763–768.
- Zelený, J., Chrysopid occurrence in west Palearctic temperate forests and derived biotopes, in *Biology of Chrysopidae*, Hague: Dr. W. Junk, 1984, pp. 151–160.